

JACK N. WAGNER
(Resignation in Lieu of Disciplinary Proceedings)

On August 8, 2025, the Idaho Supreme Court entered an Order accepting the resignation in lieu of disciplinary proceedings of Boise attorney Jack N. Wagner. The Idaho Supreme Court's Order followed a stipulated resolution of a disciplinary proceeding that related to the following conduct.

Mr. Wagner, a family law attorney, was admitted to practice law in Idaho in October 2020. For the course of his time practicing law, Mr. Wagner has admitted to alcohol abuse, resulting in inappropriate conduct. In May 2021, Mr. Wagner called and left a voicemail for "M.K.," the mother of his young child, "C.J.," threatening to physically harm M.K., her family, and her boyfriend. In February 2024, Mr. Wagner called and left two voicemails for M.K. in which he again threatened to physically harm M.K. and her family. Regarding his February 2024 voicemails, Mr. Wagner was charged with misdemeanor telephone harassment. Mr. Wagner entered an Alford plea to the harassment charge and was sentenced to a period of suspended jail time, community service, unsupervised probation, and anger management treatment.

In June 2024, Mr. Wagner sent multiple texts to M.K. again threatening physical harm to M.K. and her family and making false statements about discussions he had with C.J. Mr. Wagner, who at that time was employed as counsel with Idaho Legal Aid, also falsely informed M.K. that he had inappropriately removed materials from the Nampa Family Justice Center. M.K. sought and obtained a civil protection order ("CPO") against Mr. Wagner, who then filed multiple motions in the couple's pending custody case seeking custody of C.J., in part on the grounds that M.K. had allegedly sought a "frivolous" CPO against him based on "unfounded fabrications." The magistrate court issued the CPO and later that day, Mr. Wagner sent multiple emails to M.K.'s counsel in the custody case, "A.P.," offering to pay M.K. and A.P. in cash if M.K. stipulated to amend the CPO to allow him custody time with C.J. Neither M.K. nor A.P. accepted Mr. Wagner's cash payment offer.

In June 2025, Mr. Wagner sent numerous emails and texts to M.K. and her mother, "S.G." In his messages to S.G., Mr. Wagner threatened to "drag" S.G.'s entire family into the custody dispute unless she and M.K. complied with his requests. Mr. Wagner also offered to drop his pending contempt action against M.K. if S.G. issued a \$10,000 check to Mr. Wagner for C.J.'s college fund. Based on Mr. Wagner's repeated unwelcome communications, S.G. blocked his texts. After realizing that S.G. had blocked his texts, Mr. Wagner sent a message to M.K. stating that he would "go speak with [S.G.] direct" if she did not unblock him. S.G. felt threatened by that statement and reported Mr. Wagner's conduct to law enforcement. In his communications with M.K., Mr. Wagner also referenced his pending disciplinary case and stated that he needed to do a "full court press to save [his] license." Mr. Wagner asked for M.K.'s help in that effort, despite the fact that M.K. was the grievant in the disciplinary case.

In July 2025, after learning that M.K. had sought another CPO against him, Mr. Wagner sent multiple unsolicited texts to M.K. disparaging her. After learning that S.G. had reported his conduct to law enforcement, Mr. Wagner sent multiple unsolicited emails to S.G. disparaging her and stating that she was no longer C.J.'s grandmother.

With respect to the conduct described above, Mr. Wagner admitted that he violated IRPC 8.4(b) [Committing a criminal act that reflects adversely on the lawyer's honesty, trustworthiness or fitness as a lawyer in other respects], IRPC 8.4(c) [Engaging in conduct involving dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or misrepresentation], and IRPC 8.4(d) [Engaging in conduct prejudicial to the administration of justice].

The Idaho Supreme Court accepted Mr. Wagner's resignation in lieu of disciplinary proceedings. By the terms of the Order, Mr. Wagner may not make application for admission to the Idaho State Bar sooner than five (5) years from the date of his resignation. If Mr. Wagner does make such application for admission, he will be required to comply with all the bar admission requirements in Section II of the Idaho Bar Commission Rules and shall have the burden of overcoming the rebuttable presumption of the "unfitness to practice law."

By the terms of the Idaho Supreme Court's Order, Mr. Wagner's name was stricken from the records of the Idaho Supreme Court and his right to practice law before the courts in the State of Idaho was terminated on August 8, 2025.

Inquiries about this matter may be directed to: Bar Counsel, Idaho State Bar, P.O. Box 895, Boise, Idaho 83701, (208) 334-4500.