PROCEEDINGS

BUSINESS SESSION

OF THE

1974 ANNUAL MEETING

THE

IDAHO STATE BAR

COEUR D'ALENE, IDAHO

John C. Tucker & Associates

COURT AND DEPOSITION REPORTERS Post Office Box 1625, Boise, Idaho 83701

TELEPHONE 345-3704

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SATURDAY MORNING, AUGUST 3, 1974	PAGE
Call to Order by President John H. Bengtson	2
Appointment of Court Reporter	3
Appointment of Parliamentarian	3
President's Address	6
Remarks re Continuing Legal Education Committee, Ron Kull	16
Remarks re Prepaid Legal Services Committee, Allen R. Derr	23
Remarks re ABA House of Delegates by State Delegate, Edward L. Benoit	27
Remarks re Resolutions by President-Elect Tom Nelson	36
Resolution No. 1 (Appreciation to Governor Cecil Andrus and Appreciation of Speakers and Gratuities)	36 37
Resolution No. 2 (Appreciation to Contributors to Annual Meeting)	38
Introduction of Tom Nelson, New President	40
Remarks of Raymond L. Kuhn, Mutual of Omaha and Presentation of Past President's Plaque and Gavel to Tom Nelson	41
Introduction of New Commissioners, Tom Mitchell and Mack Redford	43
Remarks re Resolution by Edward L. Benoit (Appreciation to John Bengtson and Commissioners)	46
Business Session Adjourned	47

1974 ANNUAL BUSINESS SESSION

of the

9:15 A.M.

IDAHO STATE BAR

COEUR D'ALENE, IDAHO

Saturday Morning

August 3, 1974

NORTH SHORE MOTOR HOTEL Convention Center

CALL TO ORDER

JOHN H. BENGTSON

PRESIDENT BENGTSON: Ladies and gentlemen, pursuant to official notice which was contained in the program mailed to each member of the Idaho State Bar, I will now call to order the 1974 Annual Business Session of the Idaho State Bar.

As you know, we are running in a little competition this morning with the ITLA program, and I am sure many of you will be interested in getting over there. I know Tom Mitchell has to get over there and make some sort of a presentation this morning.

Last year our attendance at the business meeting was much greater, as you are aware. Of course,

we had a great deal of controversial matters which were discussed. I don't think our meeting here this morning is going to take very much time.

I would at this time acknowledge the presence of Grace Tucker, of the firm of John Tucker & Associates, who will be reporting these proceedings.

At this time the chair appoints Ed Benoit, our past-president, as parliamentarian, as he has been traditionally in the past. If he had known that, I'm sure he wouldn't have --

MR. BENOIT: And I don't know anything about parliamentary law.

PRESIDENT BENGTSON: Well, here's a book to help you out, if you want. (Laughter.)

As you are aware, one of the substantial changes to the bar policy was a rule change relating to Rule 185, which was adopted by the bar at the mid-winter meeting of the local bar association delegates held last December and which was approved by the Supreme Court thereafter.

Pursuant to the rule change, resolutions relating to policy matters, government of the State Bar, government of local bar associations, proposed rule changes, and legislation are now presented at the mid-winter meeting of the local bar delegates.

The procedure is for resolutions relating to these matters to be presented to the secretary of the Idaho State Bar on or prior to September 25. Thereafter, a meeting of the local bar delegates held in October at which the resolutions are presented and discussed; then they are taken back by the local bar delegates assembled at the December mid-winter meeting.

This format was developed last year and it worked very well last fall. However, Rule 185, as amended provides that delegates of local bar associations may adopt resolutions relating to policy, rule changes, or legislation as are presented at the Annual Meeting by either the Board of Commissioners of the Idaho State Bar, its committees in local bar associations, or any member of the Idaho State Bar.

I would report to you at this time that no resolutions relating to policy matters, rule changes, legislation, or government of the state or local bar associations has been presented for consideration at this meeting.

I would remind you, however, that resolutions concerned with these matters must be presented to the executive director or the secretary

of the bar by September 25 for consideration at this winter's mid-winter meeting.

I would direct your attention to the July issue of The Advocate, our State Bar publication, wherein are contained reports from each of our standing committees.

It was decided that it would be best to promulgate these reports through the medium of The Advocate rather than have the reports presented at length at this meeting and have a handout as we did at the last Annual Meeting.

Several years ago, those of you who attended the Annual Meeting which was held at Boise when Ed Benoit was giving his swan song, were charmed by his address. As you will recall, when Ed got to that part of the program, he said, "I note on the program that the next order of business is the President's address. My address is Twin Falls Bank and Trust Building, Twin Falls, Idaho." And to the wild applause of those assembled, he moved on to the next order of business.

Being neither as witty or as original as Ed, but recognizing that brevity is the sole of wit, I am going to make a very abbreviated report.

There have been several basic changes

I am sure you are aware, the bar, as the result of the resolution adopted by the local bar delegates at the December mid-winter meeting, proposed legislation to create a new division of the Idaho State Bar and providing for the increase in the number of commissioners from three to five.

ì

The legislation was so drafted that this year we will have four commissioners as of this meeting. Next year we will have five commissioners, each of whom will serve a three-year term.

The reason for so doing, for gradually increasing the number of commissioners, was, of course to permit the same pattern of revolving commissioners every three years. So we will have four commissioners of the Idaho State Bar during the next year and, thereafter, five commissioners.

Again, I have explained the basic changes in Rule 185, governing policy matters, rule changes, and legislation. And again I would report to you that we have no basic resolutions along those lines to present at this meeting.

One of the single accomplishments of the Idaho State Bar this year was the result again

of action taken by the local bar presidents at the mid-winter meeting to propose and shepherd through -- that's maybe a bad word at this point -- but shepherd through the legislature a bill providing for a substantial pay increase for the members of the Bench.

ĩ

This committee was ably headed by

Jay Webb. And, Jay, I extend to you formally at
this time the thanks of the Idaho State Bar, and
you did yeoman's work and we have appreciated it and
I know the members of the judiciary also appreciate
it.

As you are aware, there have been efforts in the past to provide for pay increases for our judges, but they were unsuccessful. And the bar got behind this and Jay handled it beautifully and we are very proud of the fact that at least we are not No. 48 now in the salary structure for the members of our judiciary.

Steps have been taken this year to propose some innovations. Those of you who attended the first session Thursday morning were advised, and those of you who read The Advocate several months ago had been advised, that a committee on recertification to assure the maintenance of professional competence

has been formed as a result of action of the Board of Commissioners of the Idaho State Bar.

At the meeting Thursday morning,

Leonard Janofsky and Deryl Gotcher, the president

of the Oklahoma Bar, discussed some of the pros and

cons of recertification.

Since recertification will require a rule change and since it is a basic policy matter, the question of recertification, or the proposed format, will be presented either at a future Annual Meeting or at one of the future mid-winter meetings for adoption or rejection by the Idaho State Bar.

I just wish to report to you that we felt that in light of comments made by
Chesterfield Smith and in light of comments made by
the Chief Justice of the United States, in light of
action taken in a number of other states by bar
associations or by legislatures, we felt it advisable
to at least consider the question of whether or not
some program for recertifying periodically the
attorneys in the state in order to be qualified to
continue practice should be looked into.

We have made, as a Board, no specific recommendation to the committee. I anticipate that it will be some time before a proposal is made to

the bar.

In the State of Washington, the Board of Governors of the Washington State Bar, recently commissioned a group, a committee, to make such a study and provided that they should report back in three years. I trust that it will not take us three years to come up with some sort of recommendation to the bar concerning recertification.

Another very active committee which is innovating was headed by Allen Derr this year. Allen has, together with the members of his committee, and Ron Kull, and the help of a number of other people, some of them out of state, members of the bars of other states, have developed a proposed prepaid legal services program.

Now, since this will be strictly a voluntary program, it is not necessary that we take any action as a bar upon this program.

Al will, a little later, present a brief report outlining the recommendations of his committee and how the program will be implemented.

Again this year the Idaho State Bar has sponsored a number of successful continuing legal education programs which were held at various places throughout the state. In a few minutes Ron Kull

will present to you a report on our CLE activities.

As a result of the constitutionally-mandated government reorganization, Tom Miller and his committee worked toward the adoption of legislation which would place the Idaho State Bar in the Department of Self-Governing Agencies.

The legislation was approved and we are now recognized, at least to some extent, as a quasi-executive arm of the government, recognizing the fact that the ultimate determination of who shall or who shall not practice law or who shall or shall not be disciplined as attorneys in Idaho rests with the Supreme Court.

Tom's committee did a tremendous amount of work. He has a rather extensive report on the activities of his committee which will be found on Page 7 of the July issue of The Advocate.

The number of bar applicants has dramatically increased. I can recall when I first started grading bar examinations in 1963, we thought we had a large group of applicants when they numbered 36 or 38.

As the result of the dramatic increase in the number of bar applicants, more and more time

is devoted by the commissioners and by the office staff to the planning and administration and grading of bar examinations.

Last summer we had 88 applicants to take the bar exam, 74 of whom were successful.

This spring, after some discussion with the Supreme Court and its appointed committee on the development of new rules and standards relating to the administration of bar examinations, we again innovated by employing the multi-state bar examination as one phase of our examining procedure.

We had 22 applicants take the spring bar, 18 of whom were successful. We administered a bar examination this past week to 104 applicants. The format of the examination this past week was the same format which was employed this spring. That is, one day of essay questions followed by the multi-state examination, which was then followed by another day of essay questions. So we now give 20 essay questions and the multi-state bar examination.

The results of the multi-state bar examination and the essay examination showed a substantial correlation. We feel that the results indicated and were substantial evidence of the validity of the essay portion of the examination.

As a matter of fact, of the 22 applicants who took the spring examination, 10 of them failed to receive a passing grade on the multi-state examination. Six of them were saved by virtue of the essay portion of our examination, our Idaho original questions.

Glenn Coughlan and his committee on peer review has done yeoman's but unheralded work in the field of fee disputes and, Glenn, we thank you very much for the efforts of your committee.

One of the more distasteful functions performed by the Board of Commissioners relates to the disciplining of attorneys. This year we received 67 informal complaints. Fortunately, the vast majority of them were weeded out without the necessity of filing of a formal complaint or conducting of any hearing.

As the result of our investigations and our actions, the Supreme Court, upon recommendation of the Board of Commissioners of the Idaho State Bar suspended one attorney and issued one formal reprimand to an attorney.

Speaking of the Supreme Court, I want to report that I am pleased to report that our relationship with the court is a very healthy one at this time. There has developed, particularly

over the past year, a spirit of cooperation between the bar and the court which, I think, is manifested by the fact, first, that the Board of Commissioners worked with the Supreme Court and its committee in developing new grading standards, standards for the preparation and grading of bar examinations.

Within the past month these new standards and new rules were adopted by the Board of Commissioners of the Idaho State Bar and approved by the Supreme Court.

The spirit of cooperation is further manifested by the fact that in each discipline matter which has been presented to the court this past year, the Supreme Court has sustained the commission's recommendation.

Furthermore, while a number of applicants who took the last two bar examinations which have been administered this past year were unsuccessful, and a number of them petitioned the court for review of their examinations, the court has seen no merit in any of these petitions and has sustained the decision of the Board of Commissioners and the examining committee of the Idaho State Bar with respect to the fact that these particular applicants just did not demonstrate sufficient proficiency to

be issued a license to be admitted to practice law in the State of Idaho.

The feeling which has developed in the past years is considerably better in this meeting than it was a year ago, Judge McFadden, as I am sure you are aware.

I have enjoyed my work with the bar -well, ever since 1963 when I started grading bar
examinations, and that was the result of some action
on the part of Alden Hull who had me fill in as a
grader.

The past three years have been particularly rewarding to me. I have had the opportunity to work with Gene Thomas, John Sharp -- whom we sometimes dearly refer to as Captain Hemorrhoid as a result of some comments made by him a year ago -- Tom Nelson, Jerry Olson, as my fellow commissioners.

I have had the opportunity of working with, well, really, the finest members of the profession in the State of Idaho.

I want to recognize the work of
Ron Kull this year. Ron has been extremely helpful,
not just to me, but to the commissioners and to the
committee chairmen.

And, Arcie, we have appreciated your efforts, the parties you put on for us, your lovely gourmet dinners, your cocktail parties, and I especially appreciate that lovely picture which appeared at the past-president's cocktail party.

And I guess Gloria is not here yet,

Gloria Rinehart, our chief secretary at our office.

She has been superb. We have appreciated her work.

I have two partners that I must thank.

One is my marital partner -- who I notice is not here
yet; still eating breakfast -- for her understanding
as to why I was gone from home so often in the last
three years, particularly the last year; and also
my legal partner, Russ Randall, for his understanding
as to why I was gone from the office so much.

I will have just a few more comments before I turn the meeting over to Tom Nelson. But before so doing, we have a few short reports. And at this time I will ask Ron to render an abbreviated report on the activities of the Continuing Legal Education Committee.

SECRETARY KULL: Thank you, John. This will be a very brief report because the full report of the Continuing Legal Education Committee is set out in the July issue of The Advocate.

Possibly you might be interested in some of the things we had planned for the fall and for next year. You know, in this work, advance planning is very important. You have to really start about six or nine months ahead of time to get anything done in this area.

Phil Peterson and Bob Jones, sitting in the back of the room, will attest to this. Both of them, among many, many other members of this bar, and bars throughout the United States, have really accomplished great things. We feel, really, that the Idaho Continuing Legal Education program is probably the finest program for a state of this size in the United States. We have some bigger bars, of course, who are operating with full-time staffs and paid employees, publication personnel, and so forth. We do this all on a voluntary basis, and I mean voluntary.

I hesitate to single out anyone, because so many people have done so much work on this over the past years. As you know, we had last year, among the programs we had, were the very successful pattern jury instruction institute which Bob and I traveled in all seven districts to put on. We used some local people, members of the Supreme Court,

local judges to help with these programs. But, really, it was Bob Jones' program, and I know we all appreciate his effort in that field.

We keep going with some probate matters. We are still kind of getting used to the idea of the Uniform Probate Code. And Phil Peterson, of course, has been most helpful, and he gave us the thing and now he is instructing us on it.

Probably our most successful program this year was the estate administration institutes held in three locations. We had a dozen authors write a book for this program and Phil edited the book which means that he really put it together. I think this was a most successful program.

We did something else this past year which we think was a pretty good idea and we will be continuing to do. We cooperated with the state bars of Utah and Arizona to put on a bankruptcy rules program. The new bankruptcy rules which went into effect we felt should be covered. We realized that bankruptcy would be not a very popular topic and we thought we could maybe spread our costs over the three bars. As it turned out, we had 171 people at this institute. And if all of you who were there will recall, you were almost sitting on each other's

laps. The attendance far exceeded our expectations.

There have been some changes in Chapter 13 of the bankruptcy rules or Bankruptcy Act. We are going to have another institute on this topic in October. The same three speakers, as a matter of fact, who did the bankruptcy rules institute will be on this. Again, we are cooperating with the state bars of Utah and Arizona. And they will be in Boise on Thursday for an institute and in Salt Lake on Friday and end up in Phoenix on Saturday. We will have our usual golf tournament with them on Wednesday and this is really why they come out.

We also are going to have an institute which I hope all of you will be interested in on office management called "Salvation for the Sole Practitioner and Small Firm." The keynoter on this will be J. Harris Morgan from Greenville, Texas, who many of you have heard before. We had him out for an annual meeting a couple of years ago and he was very popular. Then a couple of Harris' friends, one from New York and the other from Florida, will be on this program. This was a tremendous success when it was originally given in Texas. We hope that the lawyers of Idaho will enjoy it.

Again, we are cooperating with the

Montana Bar Association with this one. They will give it one day in Great Falls and come over to Boise the next day for that.

The institute that I am sure all of you are going to be concerned with, like it or not, will be a triple series we will be offering on the new civil rules which are, as far as I know now, likely to go into effect on next January 1. This program will be given in Eastern Idaho, Northern Idaho and in Boise in November.

We also are in the early planning stages of a workshop-type of program on estate planning for farmers and ranchers. This will be a limited enrollment workshop. It will be held in May, probably in Burley. But for those of you who are interested in this topic, you might kind of keep that in mind. I think this will be a new experience for many of you. The idea of small discussion sessions as opposed to simply lectures.

We will, of course, have our workshop for corporate counsel. We have had this for the past two years. This will be the third year. This is scheduled for late February at Sun Valley. This has been a very popular program for people interested in the corporate field. I think Hal McIntire,

sitting in back, has attended; I believe Blaine Evans registered one year. Again, it is a small, rather specialized workshop, but we feel that, as a part of a healthy overall CLE program, this should be done.

Again, next fall we intend to repeat
the basic practice institute, which we gave last year,
and we thought we could probably do it every two
years instead of every year. I was amazed; we
designed the basic practice institute to help the
young lawyers to kind of give the kids who were just
out of law school a little taste of the practice and
practicalities. We had such young kids at the last
one as Laurel Elam and Karl Jeppesen and many people
like this. I think the older lawyers enjoyed the
basic practice institute we held last time as much
as the younger lawyers, and for that reason we want
to have that again.

I did neglect to mention that you have in the mail now the brochure announcing the advocacy institute. Again, one of our annual programs. This will be held this year in early September. We will be talking about using accident reconstruction experts. I think all of you might be interested in this program. I think it will be an entertaining and constructive one.

Naturally, continuing legal education involves a lot of work but also a lot of stargazing. We are never really quite sure if we are hitting the topics that you want us to. Therefore, anytime you have some ideas as to topics, as to speakers, anything that would be of use in this area, I would really appreciate it if you would contact me, contact Bob Alexander who is chairman of the continuing legal education; in Eastern Idaho contact Gene Bush who is a member of this committee; and in Boise contact Byron Johnson. But we would really appreciate your input on this area of activity of the Idaho State Bar.

Again, I didn't really mean to single out Pete and Bob as workers in this area, because many others of you have helped us; Bob Koontz has served as a speaker; Mack Redford, of course.

Our friend, John Bengtson, who did a terrible thing: This year, in addition to being president of the bar, he went on the triple institute with us and, of course, did an excellent job. As a matter of fact, at each place, I introduced him as the best speaker, the finest speaker on the entire panel, explaining that as long as he had control of the budget of the Idaho State Bar, he would continue to be the best speaker on the panel. (Laughter.)

(Applause.)

PRESIDENT BENGTSON: Thank you, Ron.

Ron has acquired a new name this year. He calls my office so frequently that the secretary says, "It's Ron Kull-again." (Laughter.)

Those who were at the session yesterday morning heard the president of the Utah Bar,

Joe Novak, discuss the infant prepaid legal services program now in operation in the State of Utah.

The chairman of our prepaid legal service committee, Allen Derr, is here now and he is going to give a short report explaining the basic format of how a prepaid legal services program which we hope will be in operation in the very near future.

MR. ALLEN R. DERR (Boise): Thank you, John.

I don't know why, but since we have got a new Chief Justice, I always start an address to attorneys with this comment: "The Shepard is my lord." (Laughter.)

But we in the June issue of The Advocate, set forth the basic concepts of a plan for Idaho which largely was adopted from the State of Utah.

And I would ask that the writings from the June issue of The Advocate be made part of the minutes of this meeting.

PRESIDENT BENGTSON: Your report will be considered a part of the minutes of this meeting.

MR. DERR: Thank you, John.

When we started into this -- of course, prepaid legal services is a relatively new concept except in the closed-panel idea. Ron was really the workhorse on this thing. I will have to give him a great deal of credit for it.

We even went to the State of Utah where they had their plan in operation, which we heard about yesterday, to get as much detail about this as we could.

Now, we started out at the outset with problems as to whether or not there would be any fee setting or any anti-trust problems. We avoided that, because our plan, as Utah's plan, allows the attorney to set any fee he desires to set. If there is a fee dispute, it goes to the peer review committee.

But the plan does have the coverages as outlined yesterday and I don't think it is really necessary to go into it again because it is also in the report and you heard it yesterday.

Our fee structure, as far as coverage under the plan, will be the same as Utah's.

We also worried about insurance, whether

or not this plan would be insurance. And we have visited with the Attorney General. And I guess the thing that really worried them most were the areas where a person didn't have a choice. In other words, can have a will drawn, and this sort of thing; the client controls this sort of thing. But where a person is a defendant, then it was finally concluded that this was just a small portion of the overall plan and why throw the baby out with the bath water.

ŧ

But we do have, and I can report, clearance from the state to operate, put into operation, this plan without any problems with insurance. Now, that doesn't mean that we won't be sued or we may not be questioned about the insurance aspect or the anti-trust aspect.

But we feel, from the statistics, that we have been able to get hold of, it is said that some 90 per cent of the American public is underserved and some 70 per cent of the American public never consults an attorney. And we all know that everyone at one time or another has things that they should talk to attorneys about.

And the whole concept of the plan is basically preventative law so that people can go and consult an attorney without being fearful that they

are going to get charged several hundred dollars just for talking to an attorney.

At any rate, we think the plan is good. We think the concept is good. The details of the plan, as I said, you heard a lot of them yesterday, because our plan is just almost exactly the same as Utah's. We urge that the Idaho State Bar move forward in prepaid legal services.

(Applause.)

PRESIDENT BENGTSON: Thank you, Allen.

If the proposed prepaid legal services program does for the bar what Blue Cross has done for the medical profession, we will be forever indebted to you. (Laughter.)

But, regardless of that, I think we will be performing a substantial public service by making such a program available to people who might otherwise, for fear of cost, not avail themselves of legal services which might be necessary.

As you know, Idaho is served in the House of Delegates of the American Bar Association by two delegates in addition to having a member of the Board of Governors: Judge Blaine Anderson is a member of the Board of Governors of the ABA --

We are represented also in the House

of Delegates by Ed Benoit, who is our state delegate and Gene Thomas, another past-president of the Idaho Bar, who is our appointed State Bar delegate.

Now, Gene is not with us here today.

We requested that he represent the Idaho State Bar at the Ninth Judicial Circuit Conference which is being held at the same time as our meeting.

Gene is not with us, but we are fortunate to have with us a friend of all of us, Ed Benoit, who is going to give a few words to us concerning the activities of the American Bar Association, our state delegate to the ABA House of Delegates, Ed Benoit.

(Applause.)

MR. EDWARD L. BENOIT (Twin Falls): I might state that it is a real pleasure to appear before you today with a sound, strong body and a clear mind. (Laughter.)

As Ron said, there are three of us who have a vote in the House of Delegates.

Blaine Anderson, when he became a member of the Board of Governors, resigned as state delegate at the time I was State Bar delegate and automatically, as senior State Bar delegate from Idaho, being the only one, I became the interim state delegate and then ran for

election, and Gene Thomas was appointed by the bar to represent the State Bar in the House of Delegates.

Theoretically, I represent the members of the American Bar Association in the House of Delegates while Gene represents all members of the State Bar. And Blaine's term as a member of the Board of Governors expires at the end of the Honolulu meeting. Blaine actually represents members of the American Bar from Alaska, Washington, Oregon, Montana, and Idaho.

While Blaine and I theoretically are not bound by any suggestions from members of the Idaho State Bar, I know, as well as Gene, your delegate, Blaine and I, under no circumstances would ever take a position in the House of Delegates which was not approved by the members of the Idaho State Bar.

I think every year we have asked if any of you have any suggestions after you have read the American Bar Association Journal of what position we should take on any issue, we wish you would phone us or write us, because we are interested in what you want us to do.

two big issues that caused a lot of debate and

consternation. The first one, of course, was the resolution by the law students division that the House of Delegates to the American Bar Association immediately adopt a resolution in the House of Delegates calling for the immediate impeachment of the President of the United States.

It was the position of most of the people there, regardless of how you personally felt about the President and his aides, to take the position in Houston prior to hearings before the House of Representatives was something like telling a grand jury what should be the results of their deliberations in returning or not returning an indictment.

And after hearing a rather eloquent plea by the president of the law students division, the House of Delegates in effect adopted a resolution calling for an investigation but refused to adopt the resolution calling for the immediate impeachment, feeling that it was improper.

And one of the closest votes was on the question of prepaid legal services, whether or not you should have a closed panel or an open panel.

Briefly, to explain, the organization sets up a plan where people contribute to pay for

legal services. One plan is that the panel would consist of, say, five lawyers employed by the group which sponsors the prepaid plan. The other concept of the open panel was that members who register for prepaid legal services would not be required to retain the services of those lawyers named in the closed panel, but would have a free choice of lawyers.

The original proposal of the committee of the American Bar Association, while they did say it did not restrict to a closed panel, it was the general consensus of the general practice section, which really is the section, I feel, that represents the lawyers in the smaller states throughout the nation, that the report in effect encouraged a closed-panel system.

Therefore, the general practice section proposed some amendments to the Code of Professional Ethics relative to open or closed panels, and the general practice section specifically set up rules and procedures for filing with an appropriate agency a plan for prepaid legal services that specifically spelled out that it was going to be an open-panel choice.

The general practice section prevailed by a rather close vote in insisting upon an open

panel. And I report that both Gene and I supported the general practice section under the open-panel system, feeling that is what the lawyers in Idaho desired.

I think we were correct. I am not sure if it is going to come up again, but that is the position we will take unless we are instructed otherwise.

Now, I am not going to be in Honolulu at the Annual Meeting. It will be the second Annual Meeting I have missed in ten years. I have two reasons for not going: one, I thought Honolulu would be rather hot and kind of like an anthill.

And the second is that among the other hobbies, I am a defendant in a lawsuit against the State Board of Education, individually sued for \$2-million dollars.

The case starts the same day that the House of Delegates open, and my attorney, Jess Hawley, suggests it might be to my interest to appear in court rather than Hawaii. (Laughter.)

We have arranged, however, under the rules of the ABA, the State Bar delegate becomes an interim delegate, meaning Gene Thomas will cast that vote. We have already arranged, then, for Tom Nelson, who will be your president, to be certified as an

interim state delegate and Blaine, as a member of the Board, will be there, so you will have your three votes.

And I am sure that Tom or Gene or Blaine would appreciate hearing from you, if you have any suggestions as to what actions they ought to take on issues coming before the meeting in Honolulu.

Among some of the interesting ones, there is a section called Individual Rights and Responsibilities. And they always come up with some very interesting resolutions which manage to get before the House of Delegates. And the important one this year is to really abolish the crime of prostitution on the grounds that it is discriminating against women.

So if you have any suggestions to Tom about whether he should -- (Laughter.)

Another one, the same section, is about some amnesty for draft dodgers.

Another one that I think you will be interested in is a special committe in coordination of judicial improvement recommends reducing the number of jurors to six persons in federal court civil trials. Personally, if I were there, I would

vote against that. I am strongly opposed to reducing a jury trial from twelve to six. But it will come up and if you could tell the fellows how you feel they should vote, I think they would appreciate hearing from you.

б

Then there is another concept which will be before the House, whether or not we should have less than unanimous verdicts in criminal cases.

There is another recommendation in the section of criminal justice, endorsement by the ABA, the privacy of financial records as protected by amendments to the Bank Secrecy Act.

Another one, support of the so-called government and the Sunshine Act, which will open all governmental proceedings to the press.

And there are going to be a number of environmental recommendations as to positions by the State Bar.

And, again, as I say, the matter of closed panels or open panels or prepaid legal services will be before them again.

And then, of course, there will be many other resolutions. Prior to the meeting of the House of Delegates, a large book about this thick, comes in a month ahead of time which gives

every delegate an opportunity to read the various reports and, hopefully, be prepared to vote one way or the other.

Now, really the only advice I gave Tom was that if a technical amendment to the Internal Revenue Code was presented, I have always voted for whatever the tax lawyers recommended, because I never did understand what it was all about.

Now, Blaine as a past member of the Board of Governors will also serve automatically for another two years. So we will have three representatives in the House.

I might say that I have enjoyed working in the House and I know that Blaine has. It is another one of those expensive hobbies; you get to know a lot of people and enjoy it. Norma Lou has often said if I quit going to these darn conventions, we could afford a nice trip each year, but I am not much of a sightseer and I kind of enjoy being with my fellow lawyers.

As I say again, anytime you read anything in the Journal or hear of anything that might come before the American Bar Association, let your delegates know because everybody will follow your wishes.

In closing, I would like to comment
a moment about our colorful president,
Chesterfield Smith. A number of you met him in
Boise and heard him speak. Chesterfield is one of the
youngest presidents of the American Bar Association
we have had in a long time. He is very outspoken,
but he is a very charming and earnest individual.

ī

If you will recall, when he first came into office, he insisted that we go on record asking for a special investigator for the Watergate situation, and he had called a special meeting of the House of Delegates to ratify the authorization of the Board of Governors for him to call for such a special investigation.

In the meantime, Leon Jaworski, a past-president, was appointed by President Nixon as an investigator. While he was not appointed entirely independent, it became obvious that Mr. Jaworski, who is an eminent trial lawyer, was beholden to no one, and that meeting of the House of Delegates was cancelled and no further action has been taken by the ABA on the Watergate matter, and I doubt that anything will come before the convention in Hawaii.

Unless there are any questions, that is

about it. And as I said, if you have any suggestions to Tom, especially on the prostitution issue, I'm sure he'll be happy to listen to you.

MR. DERR: One question: Does this prostitution issue come under consumer protection? (Laughter.)

MR. BENOIT: I will defer to someone from Wallace, Idaho. (Laughter.)

(Applause.)

PRESIDENT BENGTSON: Thank you, Ed.

I will say this, with respect to Chesterfield Smith; I think more has been written in the press, more has been said of and about the American Bar Association this past year than I can remember -- at least we are being heard, and he is a delightful gentleman and I know Ed is very well acquainted with him.

While we have resolutions relating to policy decisions, legislative and so forth, we do have a number of housekeeping resolutions which our president-elect Tom Nelson will present.

PRESIDENT-ELECT NELSON: Thank you, Mr. President.

With the consent of the Chair, I will present these in two groups and save time.

I will read the first resolution:

WHEREAS, Governor Cecil D. Andrus, who
gave of his time in speaking before the
1974 Annual Meeting of the Idaho State Bar,

6 at Coeur d'Alene, Idaho; and

WHEREAS, the efforts of Governor Andrus in speaking before the Idaho State Bar were of great merit;

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Idaho State Bar does express its sincere appreciation to Governor Andrus for his contribution toward the success of its 1974 Annual Meeting.

I have similar resolutions for:

Chief Justice Alan G. Shepard

Deryl Lee Gotcher

Joseph Novak

Leonard S. Janofsky

Fred Anderson

Tom Lambert

Ted Borillo

At this time I would move the adoption

of these resolutions.

A VOICE: Second.

PRESIDENT BENGTSON: It has been moved and seconded that these resolutions thanking our guests and speakers be approved.

All those in favor signify by saying "Aye."

(A chorus of "Ayes.")

PRESIDENT BENGTSON: The motion is carried.

PRESIDENT-ELECT NELSON: Mr. President, I
have three further resolutions, and I would anticipate
that Ed Benoit would abstain voting on these
particular resolutions. I understand that since
Ed quit partaking of our cocktail hours, he has
found out that people that don't drink, don't live
longer; it just seems that way. (Laughter.)

Again, I will present these as a group. The first one:

WHEREAS, the First Security Bank of Idaho provided refreshments to the 1974 Annual Meeting of the Idaho State Bar, and

WHEREAS, these refreshments contributed to the success of this

1	Annual Meeting;
2	NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that
3	the Idaho State Bar does express its
4	appreciation to the First Security Bank
5	of Idaho for its contribution toward the
6	success of its 1974 Annual Meeting.
7	
8	I have a similar resolution thanking:
9	Title Insurance Company
10	Bank of Idaho
11	
12	I would move the adoption of these
13	resolutions.
14	A VOICE: Second.
15	PRESIDENT BENGTSON: It has been moved and
16	seconded that these resolutions thanking our hosts
17	be adopted.
18	All those in favor signify by
19	saying "Aye."
20	(A chorus of "Ayes.")
21	PRESIDENT BENGTSON: The motion is carried.
22	The record will show that
23	Past-President Benoit abstained in more respects
24	than one. (Laughter.)
25	Well, this is the time traditionally

grown men cry and I am not an emotional man, but the past three years have taken so much time out of my office that I have suffered financially, so I found it necessary to place a few small wagers with Mack Redford and with Ron Kull and with Tom Nelson that I could make it through without choking up or at least shedding any tears.

Tom asked me how I was doing earlier, and I said, well, I was not going to weep at all as I turned the gavel over to him. Actually, what I was doing, was going back to my motel room in the evenings and going to bed and thinking about it and crying.

And Tom said, "When you go to bed and cry, that's not the reason you're crying." (Laughter.)

If I need the money, I've got \$12.50

bet that Olson was smart enough not to bet against me.

In just a few moments I will be carrying on a tradition that was broken only by John Sharp last year, and that is going into the bar and having a double martini and contemplating what I will do with the new found spare time.

Before so doing, I have one more official act, and that is to introduce to you your new president. I previously, prior to the time some

of you arrived at this meeting -- I did extend my thanks to Tom and Jerry, and Ron and Darcie, to my wife and my law partner.

I have made some tremendous friendships.

I know that although my visits with you will be less frequent, I trust our relationship will be as fond as our memories will be mutual.

Tom if you would stand at this time, my friend, I will turn over the traditionally symbolic gavel to you. It is so symbolic, it doesn't even exist. But I do extend you my hand for friendship in the work, and everything. Give me the five bucks, you bastard. (Laughter.)

(Applause.)

PRESIDENT-ELECT NELSON: Thank you, John. I might say that you are not through yet. It has been traditional that the remaining members of the Board of Commissioners presents to the outgoing president, and John has been a little bit concerned recently about whether or not we thought enough of him to give him any presents.

And I had it in my power to cinch this bet completely by withholding the presents until this morning, but I relented and we gave him informal presents from the commission last night.

The first one he got was a rather scurrilous card with five dollars in it, and he thought that that was the extent of our appreciation for him. But we did manage to spend another two and a half (Laughter) which got him up to about the realm of our regard, I guess.

At this time I would like to call on Ray Kuhn to come forward, if he would, and make the traditional presentation on behalf of his company.

MR. RAYMOND L. KUHN (Mutual of Omaha): Thank you, Tom.

Mr. President, commissioners, honored guests and members of the Idaho State Bar: This is always a highlight in my year when I am privileged to attend your Idaho State Bar Convention and pay honor to your outgoing president.

I had a cup of coffee with John this morning, and the very thing that I have mentioned to the other outgoing presidents, he mentioned this morning that he was going to take a sigh of relief when all of this was over, and I think that is understandable.

The hours, the devotion, and the actual work and time that you have displayed during this past year cannot be overemphasized. And it is a

great, great pleasure for me on behalf of your personal insurance carrier, Mutual and United of Omaha, and on my own behalf and on behalf of my general agent, John Squires, to present to you, John, a plaque which I know you will hang on your wall and will adorn your office as a very pleasant reminder of the hours and the time and the real pleasure you have had.

PRESIDENT BENGTSON: Thank you, Ray, very much. I appreciate that.

(Applause.)

PRESIDENT BENGTSON: I am very pleased to see that the recent premium increase by Mutual of Omaha went to such a good use. (Laughter.)

Thank you, Ray, very much.

There is one other thing I want to do.

Perhaps it is Tom's function to do so. But I am

going to usurp one of your first functions, Tom, and

I would like to introduce to you the two gentlemen

whom the members of the Idaho State Bar, through their

respective divisions, have elected as commissioners

of the Idaho State Bar.

Coming first, because it is my bailiwick, from the Northern Division is my good friend, Tom Mitchell.

Tom, would you stand.

(Applause.)

PRESIDENT BENGTSON: Jody, take a good look at him because you are not going to see too much of him in the next few years. (Laughter.)

And, likewise, a very good friend, a fellow Mexican traveler, Mack Redford, from the new Central Division. Mack.

(Applause.)

PRESIDENT BENGTSON: Mack ran unopposed. I told him the other day that we had an official canvas and that Donald Duck got three votes and he was the new commissioner. (Laughter.)

Gloria, I see you and Clarence out there. You weren't here earlier, but I want to extend to you, as I did in your absence, our thanks for a job well done. I know Ron couldn't do it without you, Gloria.

(Applause.)

PRESIDENT-ELECT NELSON: Thank you, John; you just took one of the items off my agenda.

I am informed by Mack that he was one of the real opponents of the five commissioners and when he ran for office, his platform was: "I was against it to start with, and I am going to prove

I was right." (Laughter.)

We do have one other presentation to give John. Some state bar president's lady in the past started a tradition that the informal gifts of the Board of Commissioners to the president should be silver. I think it was because she wanted silver. We smashed that tradition beyond all repair last night, basically for the reason that John has got enough silver in his sideburns to last him a long time. (Laughter.)

But there is a further presentation that is made to the outgoing president on behalf of the bar itself and not on behalf of the personal wishes, good wishes, of the Board of Commissioners.

So John, on behalf of the State Bar,

I would like to present this to you. It is a

sterling silver platter, engraved "John H. Bengtson,

President Idaho State Bar. 1973-1974."

PRESIDENT BENGTSON: Thank you, Tom. Thank you.

(Applause.)

PRESIDENT BENGTSON: The one you gave John Sharp last year was bigger. (Laughter.)

Thank you all very much.

PRESIDENT-ELECT NELSON: I would like to, in

addition, to the other thanks that we have expressed 1 here today, express the thanks of the State Bar to 2 Grace Tucker and her daughter, Dianne, who is standing 3 out in back, and their husband and father 4 respectively, for the service that they provided in 5 taking down and transcribing the activities, the 6 minutes of this Business Session. 7 They come up at their own expense; there 8 is no charge to the bar. I think we'd have to beat 9 them off with a club to keep them from coming. 10 On behalf of the State Bar, Grace, I 11 certainly appreciate it. 12 (Applause.) 13 PRESIDENT-ELECT NELSON: Is there any 14 business to come before this Business Session? 15 MR. LARSON: Mr. President. 16 PRESIDENT-ELECT NELSON: Mr. Larson. 17 (Discussion off the record.) 18 PRESIDENT-ELECT NELSON: Is there any further 19 business to come before this meeting? 20 Mr. Benoit? 21 MR. BENOIT: I would like to place a 22

25

23

24

resolution in the record.

BE IT RESOLVED that the Idaho State Bar express its appreciation to John Bengtson and the commissioners for their excellent work and contribution to the welfare of the bar for the year 1973-'74.

(A chorus of "Seconds.")

PRESIDENT-ELECT NELSON: You have heard the motion, the proposed resolution. Is there any debate? (Laughter.)

All in favor signify by saying "Aye."

(A chorus of "Ayes.")

PRESIDENT-ELECT NELSON: Thank you.

If there is no further business to come before this meeting, I have already paid John the five dollars, so I don't owe him anything -- he thought that that was a gift. I really did plan on taking it back, however.

If there is no further business to come before the meeting, I think that those of you who are so inclined should perhaps join John for his martini as he passes into history. I have already told John that it is better to be a "has been" than a "never was." And I certainly feel that the

State Bar is lucky to have had the services of John. He has not missed a grading session since 1963. He has paid a great price to be invited to the next grading session, which will make 23 in a row or some such a matter. I would like to have you give John a hand as we go out. (Standing ovation.) PRESIDENT-ELECT NELSON: The meeting is adjourned. (The proceedings were concluded at 10:15 a.m.) --000--