



Idaho Human Rights Commission -Presentation to Ada County

JD/HO

Idaho Human Rights Commission – Administrative Remedy

· Administrative Remedy

- Required before filing in District Court
- Coordination with federal EEOC for federal Notice of Right to Sue, federal filings
- Timelines
 - Filing: 300 days (federal claims) and 365 days (state claims)
 - Requesting Notice of Right to Sue
 - Federal 180 days from filing
 - State 365 days from filing
 - State-federal coordination and deference to federal timelines

3

IHRC Updates & Key Trends

Intakes	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020	FY2021
Total number of contacts	1,588	1,156	2,080	2,621
Average per month	132	96	173	218
Total number of charges drafted	468	301	313	360
Average per month charges drafted	39	28	26	30
Percentage of drafts per month	29.5%	29.2%	15%	13.8%



Case Resolutions	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020	FY2021
Total of administrative cases resolved	392	489	358	309
No probable cause findings	74.5%	77.1%	84.9%	72.2%
Mediations, settlements, successful conciliations	17.4%	12.3%	9.8%	7.8%
Conciliation failures	1.0%	2.3%	4.5%	4.5%
Non-jurisdictional; Notice of Right to Sue without findings; other	6.9%	8.4%	8.4%	15.5%

5

Reactions to the #MeToo Movement

- Anecdotal, but also reflected in data
- · Decrease in sexual harassment claims; increase; return to earlier levels
- Increase in counter-claims related to internal investigations, sanctions
- Concerns and claims reported:
 - · Sexual harassment of all types, but females disproportionately impacted
 - Internal investigation is a form of sex-based discrimination
 - · Claims of overreaction; excessive penalties or sanctions



Idaho Human Rights Commission -Presentation to Ada County

HUMAN RIGHTS COMMISSION

IHRC Updates & Key Trends

Cases & Key Issues	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020	FY2021
Total cases filed with the IHRC	502	398	309	238
Issues most frequently raised				
Discharge (actual or constructive)	71%	69%	70%	66%
Sexual harassment	14%	11%	18%	13%
Harassment/Intimidation**	33%	32%	24%	27%
Failure to accommodate a disability	29%	22%	15%	20%
Terms & conditions of employment	23%	26%	18%	19%

7

IHRC Updates & Key Trends

			Harassment	Failure to Hire	Discharge	Accomm.	
Disability	FY2021	88 (37%)	26 (30%)	4 (5%)	63 (72%)	46 (52%)	
	FY2020	112 (36%)	24 (21%)	1 (1%)	74 (66%)	44 (39%)	
	FY2019	165 (42%)	45 (27%)	3 (2%)	122 (74%)	87 (53%)	
	FY2018	252 (50%)	29 (12%)	8 (3%)	192 (76%)	133 (53%)	
			Female	Pregnancy	Male	Sexual Orientation	Gender Identity
Sex	FY2021	86 (36%)	54 (63%)	16 (19%)	10 (12%)	5 (6%)	1 (1%)
	FY2020	107 (35%)	77 (72%)	8 (7%)	16 (15%)	4 (4%)	2 (2%)
	FY2019	156 (40%)	89 (57%)	18 (12%)	65 (42%)	2 (1%)	0 (0%)
	FY2018	165 (33%)	104 (63%)	19 (12%)	33 (20%)	6 (4%)	3 (2%)
	FY2021	65 (27%)					
Retaliation	FY2020	88 (28%)					
(all bases)	FY2019	100 (25%)					
. ,	FY2018	180 (36%)					

IDAHO

COVID-19 and Employment Discrimination Claims

- Number of Claims
 - 6 month period from approximately October 2021 to March 2022
 - 30% of all charges (not total inquiries)
- · Commission's Approach
 - · Neutral stance as arbiter and administrative review agency
 - Focus on jurisdictional claims, areas of enforcement
 - · Analysis of each legal element, independent of political or other considerations
 - Depends on the allegations and bases/issues raised

9

IDAHO

COVID-19 and Employment Discrimination Claims

• Nature of Claims

- #1: Failure to accommodate a sincerely held religious belief due to vaccines
- #2: Failure to accommodate a disability due to vaccines
- #3: COVID-related illnesses as a disability that should be accommodated:
 - COVID symptoms
 - COVID diagnoses
 - Long-COVID
- #4: Accommodations as segregation

ID/HO

COVID-19 and Employment Discrimination Claims

Common Complainant Issues

- Failure to articulate a religious belief that is sincerely held
 - · Complicated interactions between religious and political or social beliefs
- Failure to demonstrate a disability (per ADA, IHRA)
- Failure to state a claim (accommodation as segregation)

Common Respondent Issues

- · Failure to recognize sincerely held religious beliefs OR prohibitive requirements
- · Barring access to the interactive process or avoiding the process all together

11

IDAHO

COVID-19 and Employment Discrimination Claims

Commission's Observations

- · Many robust systems in place to evaluate requests;
- Focal point:
 - Some focus more on whether a belief is religious and/or sincerely held;
 - Others emphasize undue hardship on the business
- Other considerations:
 - Requested v. granted accommodations
 - Accommodation v. adverse employment action

ID/HO



Commission's Analysis

- · Baseline: Existing law applied in novel context
 - Evaluate prima facie showing
 - · Examine the interactive process: is there a system in place to evaluate requests for
 - reasonable accommodations?
 - Is there a genuine effort to engage in the interactive process? (RP)
 - Are requirements prohibitive or punitive? (RP)
 - Are requests truly based on religious belief or disability? (CP)
 - Evaluate accommodation v. adverse employment action



