

Idaho State Bar
Survey of Candidates in the Contested Second District Judge Judicial Election
Results - April 2010 Survey

The Idaho State Bar does not interpret or express any opinion about the results of this survey.

	<i>Total responses</i>	<i>% of surveys returned</i>
Statewide Results (all Judicial Districts) - surveys sent 4,374	235	5.4%

<i>Judicial Districts*</i>	<i>Responses</i>	<i>% of total responses</i>
First Judicial District	23	10%
Second Judicial District	51	22%
Third Judicial District	14	6%
Fourth Judicial District	92	39%
Fifth Judicial District	19	8%
Sixth Judicial District	17	7%
Seventh Judicial District	9	4%
Out of state	10	4%
Total	235	100%

For more information contact Dan Black at (208) 334-4500 or dblack@isb.idaho.gov

Statewide Responses

Candidate	Michael Griffin							
	1-Does not meet expectations	2-Average	3-Above Average	4-Exceeds expectations	Average of scores, statewide	Total scored responses	I do not know candidate well enough in this area	Total Responses
Integrity and Independence	4	14	13	40	3.3	71	154	225
Knowledge and Understanding of the Law	8	12	21	32	3.1	73	152	225
Judicial Temperament and Demeanor	5	16	12	41	3.2	74	151	225
Legal Ability and Experience	6	16	17	36	3.1	75	150	225

Statewide Responses

Candidate	Edwin Litteneker							
	1-Does not meet expectations	2-Average	3-Above Average	4-Exceeds expectations	Average of scores, statewide	Total scored responses	I do not know candidate well enough in this area	Total Responses
Integrity and Independence	6	17	15	47	3.2	85	144	229
Knowledge and Understanding of the Law	1	26	19	40	3.1	86	143	229
Judicial Temperament and Demeanor	5	16	19	42	3.2	82	146	228
Legal Ability and Experience	1	22	20	45	3.2	88	140	228

Bar members were asked to rate the qualifications of judicial candidates on a scale of 1(low) to 4(high).

**Idaho State Bar Survey of Candidates - 2010 Contested Judicial Election
For a Second Judicial District Judge position
Average of responses by Judicial District**

Judicial District	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Out of state active
Number of members per district	420	202	222	1860	301	200	364	805
Number responding per district	23	51	14	92	19	17	9	10

MICHAEL GRIFFIN								
Judicial District	District 1	District 2	District 3	District 4	District 5	District 6	District 7	Out-of-State Active
Integrity and Independence	3.8	3.2	3.8	2.9	3.0	3.3	*	3.0
Knowledge and Understanding of the Law	3.5	3.0	3.5	2.9	3.0	3.3	*	2.0
Judicial Temperament and Demeanor	3.6	3.2	3.8	2.7	3.0	3.3	*	3.0
Legal Ability and Experience	3.6	3.1	3.3	2.6	3.0	3.3	3.0	3.0

EDWIN LITTENEKER								
Judicial Districts	District 1	District 2	District 3	District 4	District 5	District 6	District 7	Out-of-State Active
Integrity and Independence	3.1	3.0	4.0	3.5	3.0	4.0	*	1.0
Knowledge and Understanding of the Law	3.0	2.9	3.5	3.5	3.0	4.0	*	2.0
Judicial Temperament and Demeanor	3.0	3.0	3.5	3.5	3.0	4.0	*	1.0
Legal Ability and Experience	3.4	3.0	3.8	3.5	3.0	4.0	3.0	2.0

*no responses

Bar members were asked to rate the qualifications of judicial candidates on a scale of 1(low) to 4(high).